4 7

Scott. H-29—35,006—5-11-26.

Law (Edn) (1927) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 1900

DATED 3-10-27

[Despatch Abstract.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL QUESTION.

Alleged arbitrary action on the part of the District Educational Council, South Kanara.

Starred question

Council Office

14-6-1927.

Mr.J.A.Saldanha

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

* 99 Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state -

(a) whether a Catholic school at Puttur, South Kanara district was recognised by the District Educational Council South Kanara, only subject to the condition that not more than 25% of non-Catholics should be admitted into it;

(b) whether Catholic schools attached to the churches at Pezar and ~~Arrur~~ Gurpur (Mangalore taluk) were also recognised with the restriction that only Catholics should be admitted into them;

(c) whether an application for the recognition of the lower elementary school at the parish church of St.Antony's at Kulur-Pudukodi as a higher elementary school was opposed by the District Educational Officer and the District Educational Council, South Kanara but afterwards granted by the Director of Public Instruction;

(d) whether the opening of a 6th standard in the existing Pangala Parish Lower Elementary School (a Roman Catholic school) was also opposed by the District Educational Council and the District Educational Officer;

(e) whether complaints have been received by the Government that in thus

Duplicate

refusing recognition to Catholic schools, the District Educational Council was acting arbitrarily and without good cause;

(f) how the Government proposes to make such arbitrary action on the part of the District Educational Council impossible?

AJV

Law and Education Dept.

Starred question

Council Office,

14-6-1927.

Mr.J.A.Saldanha

THE LAW (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

2287

* 99 Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state -

Draft Answer.

(a) whether a Catholic school at Puttur, South Kanara district was recognised by the District Educational Council South Kanara, only subject to the condition that not more than 25% of non-Catholics should be admitted into it;

(b) whether Catholic schools attached to the churches at Pezar and ~~Gurpur~~ Gurpur (Mangalore taluk) were also recognised with the restriction that only Catholics should be admitted into them;

(c) whether an application for the recognition of the lower elementary school at the parish church of St.Antony's at Kulur-Pudukodi as a higher elementary school was opposed by the District Educational Officer and the District Educational Council, South Kanara but afterwards granted by the Director of Public Instruction;

(d) whether the opening of a 6th standard in the existing Pangala Parish Lower Elementary School (a Roman Catholic school) was also opposed by the District Educational Council and the District Educational Officer;

(e) whether complaints have been received by the Government that in thus

(a) to (e)

* The facts are mentioned in

19/8/27

the memorial submitted by the Catholic Association of S. Kanara to the D.P.I. in February last.

refusing recognition to Catholic schools, the District Educational Council was acting arbitrarily and without good cause; and

f) how the Government propose to make such arbitrary action on the part of the District Educational Council impossible?

(f) ~~The Govt. have no information~~ The question is under the consi- ~~has been called for.~~ deration of Govt.

GR 19/8

19/8/27

19/8

Emerit 3994 G-1

Dy. Sec.

Dy. Sec. 23/8/27

Law Dept. III-8—4,000—1-12-24.

QUESTION.—No. 99 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 23rd August 1927.

L Sdn. 3954 A
17/8

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Law (Education) Department.

The Question has been admitted.

NB
15/8/27

ASSTT SECY TO GOVT
10 AUG 1927
LAW DEPT.

8
1975

U.O. No. *dated*

To

The Law (Education) Department.

A.S. Gibbs

Law and Edn. Dept.

C.No: 3994 A. L.C.Qn: 99.

(A)
D.O. no. 1500 A-1 dt 28-3-27 (memorial)

(A)
pages 10, 11, 12 & 18 (memorial)

pages 4 & 5 of (A)

In February last the Catholic Association of S. Kanara submitted a memorial to the D.P.I complaining about the working of the D.E.C, ~~Tinnevelly~~ S. Kanara and a copy of it was submitted to Govt by Mr. J.A. Saldanha in March. The point in clause (x) of the question is referred to in the memorial (portion sidelined on p.3) and the Appendix to the memorial refers to the schools mentioned in clauses (a) to (d) of the question. Suggestions for the improvement of the system has also been made in the memorial, the chief of them being that the D.E.Cs should be abolished. If the D.E.Cs are to be retained it is suggested that the constitution should be amended and that the power to grant recognition to schools and sanction distribution of grants should be transferred to D.E.Os. Mr. Statham in his report has proposed the abolition of D.E.Cs and the transfer of the power to grant recognition to schools to the D.E.O. This report is under the consideration of Govt.

p. 29 of the report

Draft answer is submitted for approval.

19/8/27

9/8.

19.8.27

26.viii.27

9/8.

3

16/3.10.

Alleged arbitrary action on the part of the District Educational Council, South Kanara.

* 287 *Q.*—Mr. J. A. SALDANHA : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether a Catholic school at Puttur, South Kanara district, was recognized by the District Educational Council, South Kanara, only subject to the condition that not more than 25 per cent of non-Catholics should be admitted into it ;

(*b*) whether Catholic schools attached to the churches at Pezar and Gurpur (Mangalore taluk), were also recognized with the restriction that only Catholics should be admitted into them ;

(*c*) whether an application for the recognition of the lower elementary school at the Parish Church of St. Antony's at Kulur-Pudukodi as a higher elementary school was opposed by the District Educational Officer and the District Educational Council, South Kanara, but afterwards granted by the Director of Public Instruction ;

(*d*) whether the opening of a sixth standard in the existing Pangala Parish Lower Elementary School (a Roman Catholic school) was also opposed by the District Educational Council and the District Educational Officer ;

(*e*) whether complaints have been received by the Government that in thus refusing recognition to Catholic schools, the District Educational Council was acting arbitrarily and without good cause ; and

(*f*) how the Government propose to make such arbitrary action on the part of the District Educational Council impossible ?

A.—(*a*) *to* (*e*) The facts are mentioned in the memorial submitted by the Catholic Association of South Kanara to the Director of Public Instruction in February last.

(*f*) The question is under the consideration of Government.

C.C. Question

Alleged arbitrary action on the part of the Dist S. Kanara.

Order No 1900 Mis 3/10/27.

The above is the final form in which the question was put and answered

The papers may be recorded.

1.10.27

1/10

1/10